# U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE SPECIES ASSESSMENT AND LISTING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT FORM

SCIENTIFIC NAME: Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis
COMMON NAME: Palapalai
LEAD REGION: Region 1
INFORMATION CURRENT AS OF: April 2010
STATUS/ACTION
Species assessment - determined we do not have sufficient information on file to support a proposal to list the species and, therefore, it was not elevated to Candidate status New candidate Continuing candidate Non-petitioned X Petitioned - Date petition received: May 11, 2004 90-day positive - FR date: X 12-month warranted but precluded - FR date: May 11, 2005 N Did the petition request a reclassification of a listed species?
<ul> <li>FOR PETITIONED CANDIDATE SPECIES:</li> <li>a. Is listing warranted (if yes, see summary of threats below)? Yes</li> <li>b. To date, has publication of a proposal to list been precluded by other higher priority listing actions? Yes</li> <li>c. If the answer to a. and b. is "yes", provide an explanation of why the action is precluded.</li> <li>Higher priority listing actions, including court-approved settlements, court-ordered and statutory deadlines for petition findings and listing determinations, emergency listing determinations, and responses to litigation, continue to preclude the proposed and final listing rules for the species. We continue to monitor populations and will change its status or implement an emergency listing if necessary. The "Progress on Revising the Lists" section of the current CNOR (http://endangered.fws.gov/) provides information or listing actions taken during the last 12 months.</li> </ul>
_N_ Listing priority change Former LP: New LP: Date when the species first became a Candidate (as currently defined): September 19, 1997 Candidate removal: Former LP: A - Taxon is more abundant or widespread than previously believed or not subject to
the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status.

U – Taxon not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a
proposed listing or continuance of candidate status due, in part or totally, to
conservation efforts that remove or reduce the threats to the species.
F – Range is no longer a U.S. territory.
I – Insufficient information exists on biological vulnerability and threats to support
listing.
M – Taxon mistakenly included in past notice of review.
N – Taxon does not meet the Act's definition of "species."
$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ X – Taxon believed to be extinct.

cc: ·

ANIMAL/PLANT GROUP AND FAMILY: Ferns and allies, Dennstaedtiaceae

HISTORICAL STATES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, islands of Maui and Hawaii

CURRENT STATES/COUNTIES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, islands of Maui, Hawaii, and Oahu

LAND OWNERSHIP: *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* occurs on State land in the Hilo Watershed and upper Waiakea Forest Reserves (FR) on Hawaii; on private land (including Waikamoi Preserve), State (West Maui NAR), and federal land (Haleakala National Park) on Maui; and on County and private land on Oahu.

LEAD REGION CONTACT: Linda Belluomini, (503) 231-6283, linda\_belluomini@fws.gov

LEAD FIELD OFFICE CONTACT: Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, Christa Russell, (808) 792-9400, christa russell@fws.gov

#### **BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

# **Species Description**

Plants are terrestrial, medium-sized, with fronds less than 40 inches (in) (100 centimeters (cm)) long. This taxon is an extremely hairy variety of *Microlepia strigosa*, with the stipes, rachises, costae, and entire fronds covered with uniform, jointed hairs with pointed tips. The rachises are often zigzag (Palmer 2003).

#### Taxonomy

This taxon was originally described as *Microlepia mauiensis* by Wagner (1993), from a collection made at Hanaula, west Maui. In the most recent treatment of all Hawaiian ferns, Palmer (2003) recognizes this entity as an endemic variety of the indigenous *Microlepia strigosa*. Lau expressed some doubt that this entity represents a continuum of *Microlepia strigosa*, as it may be a distinct species (*Microlepia mauiensis*) (J. Lau, Hawaii Biodiversity and Mapping Program (HBMP), pers. comm. 2007). According to Lau, further taxonomic study is needed, as well as additional surveys statewide in suitable habitat (J. Lau, pers. comm. 2007).

#### Habitat/Life History

Typical habitat is mesic to wet forest at elevations between 1,394 and 6,004 feet (ft) (425 and 1,830 meters (m) (Palmer 2003; HBMP 2008).

#### Historical Range

Little is known of the historical locations of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*. The type was collected at Hanaula in the west Maui mountains (Wagner 1993).

#### Current Range/Distribution

Currently, *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* is found in the Waiakea and Hilo Watershed FRs, on the island of Hawaii; on west Maui at Pohakea and Poelua gulches, and on east Maui at Hanaula in The Nature Conservancy's Waikamoi Preserve and at Manawainui in Haleakala National Park; and on Oahu at Makaleha and Makaha Valley in the Waianae mountains (HBMP 2008; J. Lau, pers. comm. 2007; H. Oppenheimer, Plant Extinction Prevention (PEP) Program, pers. comms. 2007, 2008; P. Welton, National Park Service, pers. comm. 2008).

#### Population Estimates/Status

Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis is known from nine populations totaling at least 50 to more than 100 individuals on Maui, Hawaii, and Oahu (Palmer 2003; J. Lau, pers. comm. 2007; H. Oppenheimer, pers. comms. 2007, 2008; P. Welton, pers. comm. 2008). The island of Hawaii populations are at Saddle road (15 individuals) and Puu Oo trail (20 individuals) (HBMP 2008). Populations on west Maui occur at Poelua (numbers unknown), and Pohakea and Hanaula (at both sites, more than 100 total observed in 1984) gulches, and on east Maui in the Waikamoi Preserve (not uncommon) and at Manawainui (fewer than 20 individuals) (H. Oppenheimer, pers. comms. 2007, 2008; J. Lau, pers. comm. 2007; P. Welton, pers. comms. 2008, 2010; P. Bily, TNC, pers. comm. 2009). Populations on Oahu occur at Makaleha (a patch of individuals) and Makaha Valley (one individual), with many hybrids at west Makaleha (J. Lau, pers. comm. 2007; K. Kawelo, U.S. Army Environmental, pers. comm. 2009). Botanists suggest this variety may be more widespread, and could be found in more areas if surveys were conducted (J. Lau, pers. comm. 2007; K. Kawelo, U.S. Army Environmental, in litt. 2010)

#### **THREATS**

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range. *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* is highly threatened by feral pigs (*Sus scrofa*) that degrade and destroy habitat (HBMP 2008; H. Oppenheimer, pers. comm. 2007; P. Bily, pers. comm. 2009).

Pigs of Asian ancestry were introduced to Hawaii by the Polynesians, and the Eurasian type was introduced to Hawaii by Cook in 1778, with many other introductions thereafter (Tomich 1986). Some pigs raised as food escaped into the forests of Hawaii, Kauai, Oahu, Molokai, Maui, and Niihau, formed herds, and are now managed as a game animal by the State to optimize hunting opportunities (Tomich 1986; State of Hawaii 2001). A study was conducted in the 1980s on feral pig populations in the Kipahulu Valley on Maui (Diong 1982). This valley consists of a diverse composition of native ecosystems, from near sea level to alpine, and forest types ranging from mesic to wet, *Acacia koa* (koa) to *Metrosideros polymorpha* (ohia), similar to the habitat of

Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis. Rooting by feral pigs was observed to be related to the search for earthworms, with rooting depths averaging 8 in (20 cm), greatly disrupting the leaf litter and topsoil layers, contributing to erosion and changes in ground topography. The feeding habits of pigs created seed beds, enabling the establishment and spread of weedy species such as *Psidium cattleianum* (strawberry guava). The study concluded that all aspects of the food habits of pigs are damaging to the structure and function of the Hawaiian forest ecosystem (Diong 1982). The effects on mesic and wet forest habitat by foraging of feral pigs have also been reported in fencing studies. In a fencing study conducted in the montane bogs of Haleakala, it was found that when feral pigs were fenced out of an area the cover of native plant species increased from 6 percent to 95 percent within six years of protection (Loope *et al.* 1991).

Hawaiian ecosystems, having evolved without hoofed mammals, are susceptible to large-scale disturbance by feral pigs and other introduced ungulates (Loope *et al.* 1991). Because of demonstrated habitat modifications by feral pigs such as destruction of native plants, disruption of topsoil leading to erosion, and establishment and spread of nonnative plants; the Service believes they are a threat to *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*.

# B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes. None known.

## C. Disease or predation.

Predation by feral pigs is a likely threat to *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* (HBMP 2008; H. Oppenheimer, pers. comm. 2007; P. Bily, pers. comm. 2009). In a study conducted in the 1980s, feral pigs were observed browsing on young shoots, leaves and fronds of a wide variety plants, of which over 85 percent were endemic species (Diong 1982). A stomach content analysis in this study showed that the pigs' food sources consisted of native plants, 60 percent of which were *Cibotium* spp. (tree ferns), alternating with *Psidium cattleianum* (strawberry guava) when it was available. Pigs were observed to fell plants and remove the bark of *Clermontia*, *Cibotium*, *Coprosma*, *Psychotria*, and *Hedyotis* species, with larger trees killed over a few months of repeated feeding (Diong 1982).

Because Hawaii's native plants evolved without any browsing or grazing mammals present, many lost natural defenses to such impacts (Carlquist 1980). Browsing by ungulates has been observed on many other native species, including common and rare or endangered species (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Loope *et al.* 1991). Therefore, even though we have no evidence of browsing for this species, it is likely that pigs impact *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* directly as well as the surrounding habitat.

#### D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms.

*Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* currently receives no protection under Hawaii's endangered species law (HRS, Sect. 195-D) or the Federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. §1531-1544).

Pigs are managed in Hawaii as game animals, but many populate inaccessible areas where hunting is difficult, if not impossible, and therefore has little effect on their numbers (Hawaii Heritage Program 1990). Hunting is allowed on all islands either year-round or during certain months, depending on the area (Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources 1999, 2003);

however, public hunting is not adequate to eliminate this threat to *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*.

#### E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

This variety is threatened by alien plant species that degrade habitat and outcompete native plants (H. Oppenheimer, pers. comm. 2007). On west Maui, the nonnative plant species reported to be the greatest threats to *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* are *Tibouchina herbacea* (glorybush) and *Clidemia hirta* (Koster's curse) (H. Oppenheimer, pers. comm. 2007); and on east Maui *Hedychium gardnerianum* (kahili ginger) poses a threat to those individuals at Waikamoi (P. Bily, pers. comm. 2009). The specific nonnative plant threats on Oahu and Hawaii Island are not described.

Clidemia hirta is a noxious shrub first cultivated on Oahu before 1941. This pest plant forms a dense understory, shading out native plants and hindering their regeneration, and is considered a major alien plant threat (Wagner et al. 1985; Smith 1989). The most promising biological control to date for Koster's curse is the Collectrichum fungus, Gloesporioides f. sp. clidemiae, released in 1986. Although there is no quantitative data available, it has an observable negative impact. Other agents tested were a moth (Antiblemma acclinalis), a leaf feeding beetle (Lius poseidon), a fruit and flower-feeding insect (Mompha trithalama), and a terminal growth feeding insect (Liothrips urichi), all with lesser control success than the fungus (Smith 1989).

Hedychium gardnerianum is native to India (Nagata 1999). This showy ginger was introduced for ornamental purposes, and was first collected in 1954 at Hawaii Volcanoes National Park (Wester 1992). Kahili ginger grows over 3.3 ft (1 m) tall in open light environments, preferring a warm moist climate; however it will readily grow in full shade beneath a forest canopy (Smith 1985). It forms vast, dense colonies, displacing other plant species, and reproduces by rhizomes. The conspicuous, fleshy, red seeds are dispersed by fruit-eating birds as well as man. Aircraft-based analysis has found that ginger reduces the amount of nitrogen in the *Metrosideros* forest canopy in Hawaii, a finding subsequently corroborated by ground based sampling (Asner and Vitousek 2005). It may also block stream edges, altering water flow (Global Invasive Species Database 2005). Kahili ginger can be controlled by herbicides, but biological control is considered the only practical approach for the long-term management of large infestations in native forests. The ability of the bacterium *Ralstonia* (=Pseudomonas) solanacearum to cause bacterial wilt in kahili ginger in the field, together with its lack of virulence in other ginger species, contributes to its potential as a biological control agent (Anderson and Gardner 1999; Anderson 2003).

*Tibouchina herbacea*, a member of the Melastomataceae family, is native to southern Brazil, Uruguay, and Paraguay (Wagner *et al.* 1999a). In Hawaii, it is naturalized and abundant in disturbed mesic to wet forest on the islands of Hawaii, Lanai and is rapidly expanding its range over West Maui. It has become widely established in the lower half of Kapunakea Preserve over the last decade. People, pigs, and wind seem to be the primary vectors of this habitat-modifying weed. It forms dense thickets, crowding out all other plant species and inhibiting regeneration of native plants (The Nature Conservancy 2003). All members of this genus are declared noxious in the state of Hawaii (HAR Title 4, Subtitle 6, Chapter 68). Research is ongoing for biological controls of this species (Smith 1998; The Nature Conservancy 2003).

The original native flora of Hawaii consisted of about 1,400 species, nearly 90 percent of which were endemic. Of the current total native and naturalized Hawaiian flora of 1,817 taxa, 47 percent were introduced from other parts of the world, and nearly 100 species have become pests (Smith 1985; Wagner et al. 1999a). Several studies (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Wood and Perlman 1997; Robichaux et al. 1998, p. 4) indicate nonnative plant species may outcompete native plants similar to *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*. Competition may be for space, light, water, or nutrients, or there may be a chemical produced that inhibits growth of other plants (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990). In addition, nonnative pest plants found in habitat similar to that of this species have been shown to make the habitat less suitable for native species (Smathers and Gardner 1978; Smith 1985; Loope and Medeiros 1992; Medeiros et al. 1992; Ellshoff et al. 1995; Meyer and Florence 1996; Medeiros et al. 1997, Loope et al. 2004). In particular, alien pest plant species degrade habitat by modifying availability of light, altering soil-water regimes, modifying nutrient cycling, or altering fire characteristics of native plant communities (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Vitousek et al. 1997). Because of demonstrated habitat modification and resource competition by nonnative plant species in habitat similar to mesic to wet forest habitat of M. strigosa var. mauiensis, the Service believes nonnative plant species are a threat to *M. strigosa* var. *mauiensis*.

In some cases, hybridization makes it difficult to clearly understand the status of the species in certain locations (K. Kawelo, U.S. Army Environmental, pers. comm. 2009.

#### CONSERVATION MEASURES PLANNED OR IMPLEMENTED

The West Maui Mountain Watershed Partnership, a non-governmental, non-profit partnership composed of west Maui landowners and managers, received funding over five years (2000 to 2005) from the Service for ungulate exclosure fences, which have been completed, and for ungulate and nonnative plant control, which is ongoing (Service 2005). These actions may provide protection for the individuals of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* in the west Maui Mountains.

The Nature Conservancy of Hawaii manages the Waikamoi Preserve on east Maui. This Preserve is largely funded through the State's Natural Area Reserve Partnership (NAP) program. A long-range management plan for this Preserve has been developed and implemented (The Nature Conservancy of Hawaii 1999). Conservation measures such as fence construction, monitoring, nonnative plant removal, and pig control as described in the plan may provide protection to individuals of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* that occur within the Preserve.

#### SUMMARY OF THREATS

Based on our evaluation of habitat degradation and loss by feral pigs and nonnative plants, we conclude there is sufficient information to develop a proposed rule for this species (once the taxonomic questions have been resolved\*) due to the present and threatened destruction, alteration, or curtailment of its habitat and range, and the displacement of individuals of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*, due to competition with nonnative plants for space, nutrients, water, air, and light. Predation by feral pigs is a likely threat to *M. strigosa* var. *mauiensis*. We find that this species is warranted for listing throughout all of its range, and, therefore, find that it is unnecessary to analyze whether it is threatened or endangered in a significant portion of its range.

\*The taxonomic validity of this species must be resolved before developing a proposed listing rule.

#### RECOMMENDED CONSERVATION MEASURES

- Conduct taxonomic review
- Survey for populations of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* in areas of potentially suitable habitat
- Control feral pigs
- Determine specific nonnative plant threats to populations in east Maui, Oahu, and Hawaii Island
- Control alien plants
- Begin propagation efforts for maintenance of genetic stock
- Reintroduce individuals into suitable habitat within historic range that is being managed for known threats to this species

#### LISTING PRIORITY

THREAT			
Magnitude	Immediacy	Taxonomy	Priority
High	Imminent  Non-imminent	Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population	1 2 3* 4 5 6
Moderate to Low	Imminent Non-imminent	Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population	7 8 9 10 11 12

# Rationale for listing priority number:

### Magnitude:

This variety is highly threatened by feral pigs that degrade and destroy habitat, and nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients. Threats to the mesic to wet forest habitat of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* occur throughout its range and are expected to continue or increase without control or eradication.

#### *Immediacy of Threats*:

Threats to *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* from feral pigs and nonnative plants are considered imminent because they are ongoing.

Yes Have you promptly reviewed all of the information received regarding the species for the purpose of determining whether emergency listing is needed?

Is Emergency Listing Warranted? No. This variety does not appear to be appropriate for emergency listing at this time because the immediacy of the threats is not so great as to imperil a significant proportion of the taxon within the time frame of the routine listing process. In addition, individuals of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* may benefit from conservation actions initiated by The Nature Conservancy of Hawaii, the Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife Natural Area Reserves System, and the West and East Maui Mountain Watershed Partnerships. If it becomes apparent that the routine listing process is not sufficient to prevent large losses that may result in this species' extinction, then the emergency rule process for this species will be initiated. We will continue to monitor the status of *M. strigosa* var. *mauiensis* as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures.

#### DESCRIPTION OF MONITORING

Much of the information on this form is based on the results of a meeting of 20 botanical experts held by the Center for Plant Conservation in December of 1995. We incorporated additional information on this species from our files and the recently published manual on Hawaii's ferns, Hawaii's Ferns and Fern Allies (Palmer 2003). In 2004, the Pacific Islands Office contacted the following species experts: Robert Hobdy, retired from the Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife; Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program; Arthur Medeiros, U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline; Hank Oppenheimer, resource manager for the Maui Land and Pineapple Company; and Steve Perlman and Ken Wood, National Tropical Botanical Garden. No new status or range information was provided. In 2005 we contacted species experts, but received no new information on this taxon. In 2006 new status and range information was provided by Joel Lau, Hawaii Biodiversity and Mapping Program, and Hank Oppenheimer, Plant Extinction Prevention Program, and was incorporated into this assessment. In 2008 new information on status and range for this species was provided by Hank Oppenheimer, and by Patti Welton, National Park Service. In 2009 new information was provided by Pat Bily, TNC, and Kapua Kawelo, U.S. Army Environmental Divsion. In 2010 we contacted the species experts listed below and received new information from Kapua Kawelo.

#### List all experts contacted:

Agorastos, Nick 02/09/10 Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife	
Anderson, Stephen 02/09/10 National Park Service, Haleakala NP, Maui	
Aruch, Sam 02/09/10 Private contractor	
Bakutis, Ane 02/09/10 Plant Extinction Prevention Program, Moloka	kai
Ball, Donna 02/09/10 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hawaii Island	nd
Beavers, Sally 02/09/10 National Park Service, Hawaii Island	
Bily, Pat 02/09/10 The Nature Conservancy, Maui	

Bio, Kealii 02/	/09/10	Plant Extinction Prevention Program, Hawaii Island
		West Maui Mountains Watershed Partnership
*		Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Oahu
		Plant Extinction Prevention Program, Oahu
_		Three Mountain Alliance
		Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife
•		East Maui Watershed Partnership
		Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Maui
•		The Nature Conservancy, Maui
<b>3</b> /		National Park Service, Kalaupapa, Molokai
,		The Nature Conservancy, Hawaii Island
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		National Park Service, Haleakala NP, Maui
,		U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Maui
		Bishop Museum
		U.S.G.SBiological Resources Discipline
		Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Kauai
,		U.S. Army, Environmental Division
· •		U.S. Army, Environmental Division
		Amy Greenwell Botanical Garden
<del>-</del>		U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hakalau NWR
,		U.S.G.S Biological Resources Discipline
•		The Nature Conservancy, Molokai
•		Volcano Rare Plant Facility, Hawaii Island
· ·		The Nature Conservancy, Molokai
		U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Maui NWR
•		Plant Extinction Prevention Program, Maui Nui
		Olinda Rare Plant Nursery, Maui
•		National Park Service, Haleakala NP, Maui
•		U.S. Navy, Environmental Contractor
1 '		National Tropical Botanical Garden
•		Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife,
, J, J,		Hawaii Island
Plunkett, Bryan 02/	/09/10	Lanai Forest and Watershed Partnership
•		U.S.G.S., Biological Resources Division
Purell, Melora 02/		Kohala Watershed Partnership
		Maui Nui Botanical Garden
<u>-</u>	/09/10	Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Maui
Silbernagle, Mike 02/		U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Oahu NWR
		Complex
Smith, Miranda 02/	/09/10	Koolau Mountains Watershed Partnership
Starr, Forest 02/	/09/10	U.S.G.SBiological Resources Discipline
Tanaka, Daniel 02/		Puu Kukui Watershed Preserve
•	/09/10	Puu Kukui Watershed Preserve
•	/09/10	National Park Service, Haleakala NP, Maui
		National Tropical Botanical Garden
Wysong, Michael 02/		Hawaii Natural Area Reserve System, Kauai

The Hawaii Biodiversity and Mapping Program identified this subspecies as imperiled (HBMP 2006). Based on the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources Red List of Threatened Species, this species is recognized as Rare (could be considered at risk) by Wagner *et al.* (1999b). *Microlepia strigosa var. mauiensis* is included in the list of species in Hawaii's 2005 Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (Mitchell *et al.* 2005).

#### **COORDINATION WITH STATES**

On February 11, 2010, we provided the Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife with copies of our most recent candidate assessments for their review and comment. No additional information or comments were received.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, R.C. 2003. Kahili-make: a biological control project against kahili ginger. Presentation for U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Division, Pacific Island Ecosystems Research Center, Honolulu.
- Anderson, R.C., and D.E. Gardner. 1999. An evaluation of the wilt-causing bacterium *Ralstonia* solanacearum as a potential biological control agent for the alien kahili ginger (*Hedychium gardnerianum*) in Hawaiian forests. Biological Control 15: 89-96.
- Asner, G., and P. Vitousek. 2005. Finding hidden invasives in a Hawaiian rain forest. Carnegie Institution, Department of Global Ecology News Release, March 7, 2005. 2 pp. http://wwwglobalecology.stanford.edu/DGE/CIWDGE/home/main%20page/press%20rel eases/asner%20hawaii%203-7-05.html
- Carlquist, S. 1980. Hawaii: A natural history, second edition. Pacific Tropical Botanical Garden, Honolulu. 468 pp.
- Cuddihy, L.W., and C.P. Stone. 1990. Alteration of native Hawaiian vegetation; effects of humans, their activities and introductions. Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu. 138 pp.
- Diong, C.H. 1982. Population biology and management of the feral pig (*Sus scrofa* L.) in Kipahulu Valley, Maui. Dissertation to the Zoology graduate division of the University of Hawaii. 408 pp.
- Ellshoff, Z.E., D.E. Gardner, C. Wikler, and C.W. Smith. 1995. Annotated bibliography of the genus *Psidium*, with emphasis on *P. cattleianum* (strawberry guava) and *P. guava* (common guava), forest weeds in Hawaii. Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu. Technical Report 95. 105 pp.
- Global Invasive Species Database. 2005. Hedychium gardnerianum (herb) http://www.issg.org/database/species/ecology.asp?si=57&fr=1&sts,

- Hawaii Biodiversity and Mapping Program. 2008. Program database. Unpublished.
- Hawaii Biodiversity and Mapping Program. 2006. *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*. http://hbmp.hawaii.edu/printpage.asp?spp=PPDEN06030, accessed March 29, 2007.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. 1999. Rules regulating game mammal hunting, updated 2003. 56 pp.
- Hawaii Heritage Program. 1990 Management recommendations for Na Pali coast state park, island of Kauai. The Nature Conservancy, prepared for the Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources, Division of State Parks, Honolulu. 18 pp.
- Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife. 2006. Natural area reserves system. http://www.dofaw.net/nars/about.php, accessed on April 10, 2007.
- Loope, L.L., A.C. Medeiros, and B.H. Gagné. 1991. Recovery of vegetation of a montane bog following protection from feral pig rooting. Cooperative National. Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Technical Report 77. 23 pp.
- Loope, L.L. and A.C. Medeiros. 1992. A new and invasive grass on Maui. Newsletter of the Hawaiian Botanical Society 31: 7-8.
- Loope, L., F. Starr, and K. Starr. 2004. Protecting endangered Hawaiian plant species from displacement by invasive plants on Maui, Hawaii. Weed Technology 18: 1472-1474.
- Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, T. Flynn, S.J. Anderson, L.W. Cuddihy, K.A. Wilson. 1992. Notes on the status of an invasive Australian tree fern (*Cyathea cooperi*) in Hawaiian rain forests. American Fern Journal 82: 27-33.
- Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, P. Conant, and S. McElvaney. 1997. Status, ecology, and management of the invasive plant, *Miconia calvescens* DC (Melastomataceae) in the Hawaiian Islands. Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey for 1996. Bishop Museum Occasional Papers 48: 23-36.
- Meyer, J.-Y., and J. Florence. 1996. Tahiti's native flora endangered by the invasion of *Miconia calvescens* D.C. (Melastomataceae). Journal of Biogeography 23: 775-781.
- Mitchell, C., C. Ogura, D.W. Meadows, A. Kane, L. Strommer, S. Fretz, D. Leonard, and A. McClung. 2005. Hawaii's comprehensive wildlife conservation strategy. Department of Land and Natural Resources, Honolulu, Hawaii. 722 pp.
- Nagata, K. 1999. Zingiberaceae, ginger family. *In* Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii, Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer (eds.), University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. Bishop Museum Special Publication 97. Pp. 1,616-1,624.

- Palmer, D.D. 2003. Hawaii's ferns and fern allies. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu. 324 pp.
- Robichaux, R., J. Canfield, F. Warshauer, L. Perry, M. Bruegmann, and G. Carr. 1998. "Radiating" plants-adaptive radiation. Endangered Species Bulletin November/December. Pp. 3-5.
- Smathers, G.A., and D.E. Gardner. 1978. Stand analysis of an invading firetree (*Myrica faya* Aiton) population, Hawaii. Proceedings of the Second Conference on Natural Science, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. Pp. 274-288.
- Smith, C.W. 1985. Impact of alien plants on Hawaii's native biota. *In* Hawaii's Terrestrial Ecosystems: Preservation and Management, Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu. Pp. 180-250.
- Smith, C.W. 1989. Non-native plants. *In* Conservation Biology in Hawaii, Stone, C.P. and D.B. Stone (eds.), Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu. Pp. 60-69.
- Smith, C.W. 1998. Impact of alien plants on Hawaii's native biota. Cooperative National Park Studies Unit. http://www.botany.hawaii.edu/faculty/cw\_smith/aliens.htm#Plant%20Pests%20of%20Hawaiian%20Native, accessed on February 20, 2007.
- State of Hawaii. 2001. Game mammal hunting guide. http://www.state.hi.us/dlnr/dcre/mammalguide.html, accessed February 13, 2007.
- The Nature Conservancy of Hawaii. 1999. Waikamoi Preserve, east Maui, Hawaii, long-range management plan, fiscal years 2001-2006. 29 pp. + appendices.
- The Nature Conservancy. 2003. Kapunakea Preserve, west Maui, Hawaii: long-range management plan fiscal years 2004-2009. 29 pp.
- Tomich, P.Q. 1986. Mammals in Hawaii; a synopsis and notational bibliography. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. 375 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2005. West Maui mountains fencing and ungulate removal; Partners for Fish and Wildlife (122000G012).
- Vitousek, P.M., C.M. D'Antonio, L.L. Loope, M. Rejmanek, and R. Westerbrooks. 1997. Introduced species: a significant component of human-caused global change. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 21: 1-16.
- Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and R.S.N. Yee. 1985. Status of the native flowering plants of the Hawaiian Islands. *In* Hawaii's Terrestrial Ecosystems: Preservation and Management,

- Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu. Pp. 23-74.
- Wagner, W.H. 1993. New species of Hawaiian pteridophytes. Contributions from the University of Michigan Herbarium 19: 63-82.
- Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer. 1999a. Manual of the flowering plants of Hawaii. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. Bishop Museum Special Publications 97: 1-1,918.
- Wagner, W.L., M.M. Bruegmann, and J.Q.C. Lau. 1999b. Hawaiian vascular plants at risk: 1999. Bishop Museum Occasional Papers 60: 1-58.
- Wester, L. 1992. Origin and distribution of adventive alien flowering plants in Hawaii. *In* Alien Plant Invasions in Native Ecosystems of Hawaii: Management and Research, C.P. Stone, C.W. Smith, and J.T. Tunison (eds.), Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii, Honolulu. Pp. 99-154.
- Wood, K.R., and S. Perlman. 1997. Maui 14 plant survey final report. National Tropical Botanical Garden. 25 pp.

#### **Personal Communications**

- Bily, P., The Nature Conservancy, Electronic mail response to request for candidate species information, dated February 13, 2009
- Kawelo, K., U.S. Army Environmental, Electronic mail regarding current status of candidate plant species on Oahu, February 10, 2010.
- Lau, J., Hawaii Biodiversity and Mapping Program, Telephone interview regarding status of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*, March 14, 2007.
- Oppenheimer, H. Plant Extinction Prevention Program, Electronic mail message regarding status of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*, dated February 8, 2007.
- Oppenheimer, H., Electronic mail message regarding status of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* on Maui, dated February 18, 2008.
- Welton, P., National Park Service, Electronic mail message regarding status of *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis* on Maui, dated February 21, 2008.
- Welton, P., Electronic mail message regarding status of candidate plants on Maui, dated March 15, 2010.

APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE: Lead Regions must obtain written concurrence from all other Regions within the range of the species before recommending changes, including elevations or removals from candidate status and listing priority changes; the Regional Director must approve all such recommendations. The Director must concur on all resubmitted 12-month petition findings, additions or removal of species from candidate status, and listing priority changes.

Acting Co	nal Director, Region 1, Fish and W	ildlife Service	5/18/10 Date
Concur:	ACTING: Director, Fish and Wildlife Servi	October 22, 2	010
Do not concur	: Director, Fish and Wildlife Service	Date:	
Director's Ren	narks:		
Date of annual Conducted by:	review: <u>Cheryl Phillipson, Pacific Islands F</u> Biologist, Prelisting and Listing Prog		
Comments:			
PIFWO Revie	<u>w</u>		
Reviewed by:	Christa Russell Prelisting and Listing Program Coord	Date: April 23, 2010 linator	_
	Marilet Zablan Assistant Field Supervisor, Endanger	Date: April 26, 2010 ed Species Division	
	Gina Shultz Acting Field Supervisor	Date: April 30, 2010	